### NOTAS DE MATEMATICA

Nº 23

### REGULAR GENERAL CONTACT MANIFOLDS

POR

JORGE SAENZ

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS
UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES
MERIDA - VENEZUELA
1978

PREPRINT

# REGULAR GENERAL CONTACT "AMIFOLDS

## JORGE SAENZ C.

#### 1. INTRODUCCION

It has been proved that a compact connected manifold  $M^{2n+s}$  with a regular normal f-structure is the bundle space a principal  $T^s$ -bundle over a complex manifold  $N^{2n}$ . Moreover, if  $M^{2n+s}$  is a K-manifold, then  $T^{2n}$  is a Kaehler manifold, [2]. In this work we prove that (theorem 4.1) if the K-structure on  $M^{2n+s}$  is an S-structure, then  $M^{2n}$  is a Hodge manifold. Conversely (theorem 4.4, given a Hodge manifold  $M^{2n}$  and any  $S \ge 1$ , there exists a principal toroidal bundle  $M(N,T^s)$  over  $M^{2n+s}$  has a regular S-structure.

#### 2. NORMAL f-STPUCTURES

A C<sup>∞</sup>-manifold M<sup>2n+s</sup>,  $n \ge 1$ , is said to have an f-structure, if the structural group of its tangent bundle is reducible to U(n) x O(s). This is equivalent to the existence of a tensor field on M of type (1,1), rank 2n, satisfying f<sup>3</sup> + f = 0. Almost complex structures (s = 0) and almost contact structures (s = 1) are two examples of f-structures. If there exist vector fields  $E_i$  and 1-forms,  $\eta^i$ ,  $1 \le i \le s$  such that  $f(E_i) = 0$ ,  $\eta^i(E_j) = \delta^i_j$ ,  $\eta^i$  of f = 0,  $f^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i R E_i$ 

we say that  $M^{2n+s}$  has a framed f-structure, or, simply an  $(f, E_i, \eta^i)$ -structure. A framed f-structure is normal if

$$S = |f,f| + \sum_{i=1}^{8} dn^{i} \Re E_{i}$$

vanishes, where |f,f| is the Nijenhuis tensor of f. In this case we have [3]:

1) 
$$L_{E_{i}}\eta^{j} = 0$$
, 2)  $[E_{i}, E_{j}] = 0$ , 3)  $L_{E_{i}}f = 0$ ,

4)  $d\eta^{i}(fx, y) = -d\eta^{i}(x, fy)$ 

The equality 2) implies that the vertical distribution (the one generated by all the  $E_f$ ) is integrable.

It is known that for any  $(f,E_i,\eta^i)$ -structure there exists a Riemannian metric g which satisfies

$$g(X,Y) = g(fX,fY) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(X) \eta^{i}(Y)$$

A framed f-structure together with this metric is called a framed metric f-structure, or, simple, an,  $(f,E_i,\eta^i,g)$ -structure. The 2-form

$$F(X,Y) = g(X,fY)$$

is called the fundamental 2-form of the  $(f,E_1,\eta^i,g)$  --structure. A K-structure is a normal  $(f,E_1,\eta^i,g)$  -structure whose fundamental 2-form is closed.

Let D be an integrable distribution of dimension h on a manifold  $N^m$ . A cubical coordinate neighborhood  $(U, (X_j, \dots, X^m))$  on  $N^m$  is said to be regular with respect to D if  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X^i}$ ,...,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X^h}$  is a basis for D(p), for every p  $\epsilon$  U, and if each leaf of D intersects U in at most one n-dimensional slice of  $(U, (X^i, \dots, X^m))$ . We call D regular if each point p  $\epsilon$  N has a cubical coordinate neighborhood which is regular with respect to D.

An  $(f,E_i,n^i)$ -structure is said to be regular if the vertical distribution is integrable and regular, and if each  $E_i$  is regular (the distribution generated by  $E_i$  is regular).

Let's state the theorem mentioned at the bigining: THEOREM 2.1. (Blair, Ludden, Yano). Let  $M^{2n+s}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , be a compact connected manifold with a regular framed f-structure. Then  $M^{2n+s}$  is the bundle space of a principal toroidal bundle over a complex manifold  $N^{2n}$ . Moreover if the framed f-structure is a K-structure, then  $N^{2n}$  is a Kaehler manifold.

#### 3. TOROIDAL BUNDLES

Let T' = S' and  $T'' = S' \times ... \times S'$  be the one - dimensional and s-dimensional torus respectively. Since these

Lie groups are commutative, by choosing A, a non-zero element of the Lie algebra L(T') of T', we identify L(T') with R, and  $L(T^S) = L(T') \times \ldots \times L(T')$  with R<sup>S</sup> by means of

$$(0,\ldots,A \quad 0,\ldots,0) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{e_i} \quad ,$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_s$  is the canonical basis of  $R^s$  .

Let  $P[N,T^S]$  be the set of all  $T^S$ -bundles over the manifold N. If  $P(N,T^S,\pi)$  and  $Q(N,T^S,\pi)$  are two elements in this set, on  $\Delta(PxQ) = \{(u,v) \in PxQ \ / \ \pi(u) = \pi'(v)\}$  we define the equivalent relation:

 $(u_1,v_1) \sim (u_2,v_2) \iff \exists t \in T^S \text{ such that } (u_1t,v_1t^{-1}) = (u_2,v_2).$ The action of  $T^S$  on  $\Delta(PxQ)$  given by  $((u,v),t) \rightarrow (ut,v)$ , induces an action of  $T^S$  on

$$P + Q = \frac{\Delta (P \times Q)}{\Delta}$$

obtaining, in this way, the new  $T^S$ -bundle P+Q. It is known that  $P[N,T^S]$  with this operation, "+", is an abelian group whose identity element is the trivial bundle  $N \times T^S$ . [4].

If  $\omega$  is a connection form with curvature form  $\Omega$  of a bundle P(N,T<sup>S</sup>), then.

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \omega_i \Re e_i \text{ and } \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{s} d\omega_i \Re e_i$$

Each real 2-form  $d\omega_{\bf i}$  is horizontal and right invariant, therefore there exists a unique real 2-form  $\Omega_{\bf i}^{\bf k}$  on N satisfying  $d\omega_{\bf i}=\pi^{\bf k}\Omega_{\bf i}^{\bf k}$ . Since the forms  $\Omega_{\bf i}^{\bf k}$  are closed, they determine a cohomology classes  $\left[\Omega_{\bf i}^{\bf k}\right]$ ,  $1\leq {\bf i}\leq {\bf s}$  in  ${\bf k}^2({\bf N},{\bf R})$ . These cohomology classes are independent from the conection. In this way we get the function

$$\Psi \colon P[N,T^S] \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^S H^2(N,P) \text{ given by } P \to ([\Omega_i^*],...,[\Omega_S^*]).$$

Our intention now is to show that  $\Psi$  is a group homomorphism.

Suppose that  $\{\phi_{\beta\alpha}\}$  are the transition function of  $P(N,T^S)$  corresponding to some covering  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ . Each function  $\phi_{\beta\alpha}:U_{\beta}\cap U_{\alpha}+T^S$  can be written as  $(\phi_{\beta\alpha},\dots,\phi_{\beta\alpha}^S)$ . Now  $\{\phi_{\beta\alpha}^i\}$  are the transition functions of a 1-dimensional toroidal bundle  $P_i$  over M. If we construct the whitney sum  $P_1$   $\emptyset$  ...  $\emptyset$   $P_S$ , it happens that a set of transition functions of this sum is precisely  $\{\phi_{\beta\alpha}\}$ . In other words, P and  $P_1$   $\emptyset$  ...  $\emptyset$   $P_S$  have the same transition function. Therefore we may assume that

$$P = P_1 \bullet \dots \bullet P_s \text{ and } P[N,T^s] = \emptyset P[N,T^s]$$

Let  $h_i$  be the proyection  $h_i : P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_s \to P_i$ . If  $\Omega_i$  is a curvature form on  $P_i$ , there is a conection on P whose curvature form  $\Omega$  satisfies:

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{S} h_{i}^{*} \Omega_{i} \times \epsilon_{i}$$

Therefore we can assume that the function

$$\Psi : P[N,T^{S}] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{S} P[N,T'] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{S} H^{2}(N,R)$$

is given by  $\Psi = \psi x$  ...  $x\psi$  where  $\psi$  is the function

$$\Psi : P[N,T^{\dagger}] \to R^2 (N,R)$$
 such that  $\psi(P_i) = [\Omega_i^{\star}]$ 

But this  $\Psi$  is precisely the function defined by S. Kobayashi in page 32 of [4]. Furthermore, he proves that  $\Psi: \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{N},\mathbb{T}'] \to \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{R})$  is a group homomorphism wich sends  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{N},\mathbb{T}']$  onto  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , where  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{R})$  formed by all the elements which contain an integral closed from. Therefore

#### THEOREM 3.1. The function

$$\Psi : P[N,T^S] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^S H^2 (N,R)$$

$$P \rightarrow ([\Omega_1^*], \dots, [\Omega_s^*])$$

is a group homomorphism, which sends  $F[N,T^S]$  onto  $\overset{S}{\overset{}{\bullet}}$   $H^2(N,Z)_b$ 

 $\eta_{\Omega}$ 

#### 4. RECULAR S-STRUCTURES

DEFINICION. A manifold  $u^{2n+s}$  is said to have an s-contact structure if there exist on M s global, linearly independent 1-forms  $\eta^1, \ldots, \eta^s$  such that  $d\eta^1 = \ldots = d\eta^s$ ,  $d\eta^i$  has rank  $2^n$  and, at every point of M,

$$n' \wedge \dots \wedge n^s \wedge (dn^i)^n \neq 0$$

It is known [1] that if  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+s}$  has s-contact structure, then it has an  $(f, E_i, \eta^i, g)$ -structure, which we call associated to the s-contact structure, such that  $F = d\eta^i$ , where F is the fundamental 2-form. A normal  $(f, E_i, \eta^i, g)$ -structure associated to an s-contact structure is called an S-structure. Notice that an S-structure is a K-structure.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $M^{2n+s}$  be a compact connected manifold with a regular S-structure  $(f,E_i,\eta^i,g)$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,s$ . Then  $M^{2n+s}$  is the bundle space of a principal toroidal bundle over a Hodge manifold  $N^{2n}$ .

PROOF. By theorem 2.1 and its proof we have that  $M^{2n+s}$  is the bundle space of a principal  $T^{\underline{S}}$  bundle over a Kaehler manifold  $N^{2n}$ , and that the group action is given by the one-parameter groups of transformations of the vector fields  $E_1$ ,...,  $E_s$ .

Now we claim that the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \eta^{i} \mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{i}$$

is a conection form. This is,  $\omega$  satisfies:

- a)  $R_t^* \omega = \omega$ , for  $t \in T^S$ .
- b)  $\omega(X^*) = X$ , where  $X^*$  is the fundamental vector fields of X, with X in the Lie algebra of  $T^S$ .

Part a) follows from the fact  $L_{E_{\hat{i}}} \eta^{\hat{j}} = 0, i, j = 1, \dots s_{\hat{j}}$ , which is a consequence of the normality of the S-structure. For part b) it suffices to prove it for the vector  $e_{\hat{i}}$ ,  $\hat{i} = 1, \dots, s$ . But this follows immediately from  $e_{\hat{i}}^* = E_{\hat{i}}$ .

On the other hand from the proof of theorem 2.1. We also have that the fundamental form of the f-structure, F, and the fundamental for of the Kaehlerian structure,  $\Omega^{\star}$  , are related by

$$F = \pi^* \Omega^*$$

Where  $\pi$  is bundle proyection. But, in the particular case of an S-structure we have  $F=d\eta^{\dot{1}}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,s$ . Therefore  $d\eta^{\dot{1}}=\pi^*~\Omega^*$ . Hence, by theorem 3.1.  $[\Omega^*]$  is in H (N,Z), which says that  $\mathbb{N}^{2n}$  is a Hogde manifold.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $M(N,T^S, \pi)$  be a principal toroidal bundle whose base space  $N^{2n}$  has an almost Hermitian structure. Then M has a regular  $(f,E_i, \eta^i, g)$ - structure,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ .

PROOF: Fix a conection form  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i \Re e_i$  on M i=1 and let F<sub>i</sub> be the fundamental vector of  $e_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le s$ . Then we have

$$\eta^{i} (F_{j}) = \delta^{i}_{j}$$

Let (J,g') be the almost Hermitian structure of N. If  $u \in M$ ,  $\pi(u) = v$  and  $\overline{\pi}_{v} \colon T_{v}(N) \to T_{u}(M)$  is the lifting with respect to the fixed connection, define f by

$$f(X) = (\bar{\pi}_{V} \circ j \circ \pi_{u}) (X), X \in T_{u} (M)$$

Then we have  $f(F_i) = 0$  and  $\eta^i \circ f = 0$   $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . We also have

$$f^{2}(X) = (\bar{\pi} \circ j \circ \pi)^{2}(X) = -(\bar{\pi} \circ \pi)(X) = -X + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(X)E_{i}$$

This is,  $f^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i \Re B_i$ . Thus we have an  $(f, E_i, \eta^i)$ 

-structure,  $1 \le i \le s$ , on M. Furthermore, the Riemannian metric g on M defined by

$$g(X,Y) = g'(\pi X,\pi Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(Y) \eta^{i}(Y)$$

is associated to this  $(f,E_i\eta^i)$ -structure, since  $g(fX,fY) = g^*(\pi fX, \pi fY) + \sum_{i=1}^{S} \eta^i(fX)\eta^i(fY) = g^*(J\pi X,J\pi Y) = i=1$  $= g^*(\pi X,\pi Y) = g(X,Y) - \sum_{i=1}^{S} \eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y)$ i=1

It is clear from the definition of F<sub>i</sub> that each one of these is regular. The regularity of the distribution determined by all the F<sub>i</sub>'s (vertical distribution) follows form the theorem XIV of [5], which says that if the leaf space of an integral distribution is a manifold and if the proyection mapping takes the tangent space of any point onto the tangent space of its proyection, then the distribution must be regular.

THEOREM 4.3. The framed f-structure defined in the previous theorem is normal if and only if the following two conditions hold:

1) J is a complex structure, 2)  $d\omega(fX,Y) = -d\omega(X,fY)$ , for any X,Y.

PROOF: Since 2) es equivalent to 3)  $d\omega(fX,fY)=d\omega(X,Y)$ , the theorem will follow as soon as we prove the two equalities:

- a)  $\pi(S(X,Y)) = [J,J] (\pi X,\pi Y); X,Y$  right invariant vector fields.
- b)  $\omega(S(X,Y)) = d\omega(X,X) d\omega(fX,fY)$ , for any X,Y.

a) If X.Y are right invariant vector fields on M, so are [X,Y], f(Y) and f(Y). (f is right invariant). Besides, we have the relations:  $\pi[X,Y] = [\pi X,\pi Y]$  and  $\pi$  of  $= J \circ \pi$ . Therefore

$$\pi(S(X,Y)) = \pi([f,f] (Y,Y) + [d\eta^{i} (X,Y)E_{i}) = [J,J] (\pi X,\pi Y)$$

b) Since f is horizontal we have  $d\omega(fY,fY)=-\omega([fX,fY])$  . Hence  $\omega(\xi(X,Y))=\omega([fX,\xi Y])+d\omega(X,Y)=-d\omega(fX,fY)+d\omega(X,Y)$  .

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $\pi^{2n}$  be a Hodge manifold. Then for each  $s\geq 1$  there exists a principal toroidal bundle  $M(N,T^S,\pi)$ , whose bundle space  $\pi^{2n+s}$  has a regular S-structure.

PROOF: Let  $(J,g^2)$  be the Hodge structure on M, and  $\Omega^*$  its fundamental 2-form. Since  $[\Omega^*]$   $\in H^2(M,Z)_b$ , then

$$(\underbrace{\left[\Omega^{*}\right], \ldots, \left[\Omega^{*}\right]}_{s}) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{H}^{2}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{Z})_{b}$$

By theorem 3.1., there exists a toroidal bundle  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{S}}, \pi)$  such that  $\Psi(\mathbf{M}) = ([\Omega^*], \dots, [\Omega^*])$ . We can find a connection form  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{S}} \eta^i \mathbf{K} e_i$  whose curvature form  $d\omega$ 

satisfies

$$d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{S} d\eta^{i} \Re e_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \pi^{*} \Omega^{*} \Re e_{i}$$

The forms  $\eta', \ldots, \eta^S$  define an s-contact structure on  $M^{2n+s}$ . In fact, since  $d\eta^{\hat{i}} = \pi^* \Omega^*$ , the ranh of  $d\eta^{\hat{i}}$  is 2n.

On the other hand, if  $F_1, \ldots, F_s$  are the fundamental vector fields of  $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ , we have  $\eta^i(F_j) = \delta^i_j$ .

Now, taking  $F_1, \ldots, F_s$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{2n}$  horizontal and linearly independent vectors, we get

 $\eta' \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{S} \wedge (d\eta^{\hat{1}})^{\hat{n}} (E_1, \dots E_S, X_1, \dots X_{2n}) = (d\eta^{\hat{1}})^{\hat{n}} (X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) =$   $= \Omega^* (\pi X, \dots \pi X_{2n}) \neq 0$ which proves that  $\eta' \wedge \dots \eta^{S} \wedge (d\eta^{\hat{1}})^{\hat{n}} \neq 0$  at every point of M.

If  $(f, E_i, \eta^i, g)$  is the framed f-structure on M constructed in the theorem 4.2 using the Hodge structure (J, g') on N , we have

$$F(X,Y) = g(X,fY) = g'(\partial X,\pi f Y) = g'(\pi X,\partial \pi Y) = \Omega^{*}(\pi X,\pi Y) = d\eta^{i}(X,Y)$$

Therefore this  $(f, E_i^{\phantom{i}}, g)$ -structure is associated to this s-contact structure defined by  $\eta', \ldots, \eta^S$ . By theorem 4.2. and its proof,  $(f, F_i^{\phantom{i}}, g)$  is regular. On the other hand, since J is a complex structure and dw  $(fX, fY) = d\omega(X,Y)$ ,  $(f, E_i^{\phantom{i}}, \eta^i, g)$  is normal. and therefore a regular S-structure on M.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Blair, D. "Geometric of Manifolds with structural Group U(n) x 0(s)," Journal of Differential Geometric 4, 1970, pp. 155 167.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Jano, K. Ludden, C. "Differential Geometric Structures on Principal Toridal Bundles, "Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, 181, 1973, pp. 175 184.
- [3] Goldberg, S.I. and Yano, K. "On Mormal Globally Framed Manifolds", Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 22, 1970, pp. 362 370.
- [4] Yobayashi, S. "Principal Toroidal Bundles with 1-dimensional Toroidal Group," Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 8, 1956, pp. 29 - 45.
- [5] Palais, R. "Grobal Formulation of the Lie Theory of Transformation Groups", Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 22, 1957.