

Almost preenvelopes of commutative rings

Carlos Parra, Rafael Parra y Juan Rada

Abstract

We study almost \mathcal{F} -preenvelopes in the category of rings, for a significative class \mathcal{F} of commutative rings. We completely identify those rings which have an almost \mathcal{F} -preenvelope when \mathcal{F} is the class of fields, semisimple rings, integer domains and local rings. We show that rings with Krull dimension zero have (almost) \mathcal{V} -preenvelopes, where \mathcal{V} is the class of von Neumann regular rings.

key words. Almost preenvelopes, semiprimitive rings, local rings, von Neumann regular rings.

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 13C05; 16S10; 18B99.

1 Introduction

Enochs [4] introduced the concept of envelope and cover with respect to an arbitrary class of objects in a given category. More precisely, let \mathcal{C} be a category and \mathcal{F} a class of objects in \mathcal{C} . A \mathcal{F} -preenvelope of an object M in \mathcal{C} is a morphism $f : M \longrightarrow F$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$, such that for every morphism $g : M \longrightarrow F'$, where $F' \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a morphism $h : F \longrightarrow F'$ such that $hf = g$. Furthermore, if each morphism $k : F \longrightarrow F$ such that $kf = f$ is an isomorphism, then f is called a \mathcal{F} -envelope of M . The concepts of \mathcal{F} -precover and \mathcal{F} -cover are defined dually. This definition includes as particular cases the classical concepts of injective envelope and projective cover of a module, setting \mathcal{F} the class of injective modules or projective modules, respectively, in the category \mathcal{C} of modules.

Recently, Parra and Saorín [6] initiated the study of \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelopes in the category \mathcal{C} of rings, when \mathcal{F} is a significative class of commutative rings. They identify those rings for which there exists a \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelope when \mathcal{F} is the class of fields, semisimple commutative rings and integer domains. Also, they study the more complicated case of \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelopes when \mathcal{F} is the class of commutative Noetherian rings. Motivated by the articles [3] and [5]), we study the more general situation of *almost* \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelopes in the category \mathcal{C} of rings.

We first show that every \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelope is an *almost* \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelope of a ring, but the converse does not hold (Remark 2.4 and Example 2.5). However, when \mathcal{F} is the class of integer domains or \mathcal{F} is contained in the class $SRings$ of semiprimitive rings, the two concepts are equivalent (Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.3). A particular case of semiprimitive rings is the class \mathcal{V} of von Neumann regular rings. Although we were not able to identify the rings that have a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope, we show in Corollary 3.5 that if $K\text{-dim}(R) = 0$ then R has an almost \mathcal{V} -preenvelope. Finally, we identify in Theorem 5.1 those rings which have an (almost) \mathcal{L} -preenvelope, where \mathcal{L} is the class of local rings.

2 Almost preenvelopes of commutative rings

Let R be a (possibly non-commutative) ring and \mathcal{C} a class of rings. Motivated by [5] we extend the concept of \mathcal{C} -preenvelope of a ring R in this section. We begin by introducing the concept of superfluous ideal of a ring. We assume that all ideals are two-sided ideals.

Definition 2.1 *Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R . I is a superfluous ideal of R , denoted by $I \ll R$, if for every ideal K of R*

$$I + K = R \implies K = R$$

A ring epimorphism $f : R \longrightarrow S$ is superfluous if $\text{Ker}(f) \ll R$.

Example 2.2 *Let $J(R)$ denote the Jacobson radical of R . If K is an ideal of R and $J(R) + K = R$ then $1 = a + b$, where $a \in J(R)$ and $b \in K$. Hence $b = 1 - a \in K$ is invertible which implies $K = R$. In other words $J(R) \ll R$. Hence for every ideal I of R*

$$I \subseteq J(R) \implies I \ll R$$

When R is commutative the converse also holds. In fact, let $a \in I$ and $r \in R$. Then $Ra + R(1 - ar) = R$. Since $Ra \subseteq I$, $I + R(1 - ar) = R$ which implies $R(1 - ar) = R$. Hence $1 - ar$ is invertible for every $r \in R$ and so $a \in J(R)$.

Definition 2.3 *Let \mathcal{H} be a class of rings and R a ring. A homomorphism $\varphi : R \longrightarrow H$, where $H \in \mathcal{H}$, is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R if for every homomorphism $\psi : R \longrightarrow H'$, where $H' \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : H' \longrightarrow H''$, where $H'' \in \mathcal{H}$, and a homomorphism*

$\alpha : H \longrightarrow H''$ such that $\theta\psi = \alpha\varphi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ H' & \xrightarrow{\theta} & H'' \end{array}$$

φ is called an almost \mathcal{H} -envelope of R if each endomorphism f of H such that $f\varphi = \varphi$ is an automorphism.

Remark 2.4 If $\varphi : R \longrightarrow H$ is a \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R then φ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R . In fact, if $\psi : R \longrightarrow H'$ is a homomorphism, where $H' \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists $\alpha : H \longrightarrow H'$ such that $\psi = \alpha\varphi$. Then $1\psi = \alpha\varphi$ where $1 : H' \longrightarrow H'$ is the identity which is clearly a superfluous epimorphism.

Example 2.5 Let \mathcal{N} be the class of Noetherian commutative rings. We will show an example of an almost \mathcal{N} -preenvelope of a ring R which is not a \mathcal{N} -preenvelope of R . By [6, Example 5.3 (2)], there exists a non-Noetherian ring R and an ideal I of R such that $I \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$, R/I is a Noetherian ring and $p : R \longrightarrow R/I$ is not a \mathcal{N} -preenvelope of R . However, $p : R \longrightarrow R/I$ is an almost \mathcal{N} -preenvelope of R . To see this, let $f : R \longrightarrow N$ be a homomorphism, where N is a Noetherian ring. Then clearly $N/f(I)N$ is a Noetherian ring, and since $I \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ then

$$f(I)N \subseteq \text{Nil}(N)N \subseteq \text{Nil}(N) \subseteq J(N)$$

which implies that the projection $\pi : N \longrightarrow N/f(I)N$ is a superfluous epimorphism. Finally we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{p} & R/I \\ f \downarrow & & \widehat{f} \downarrow \\ N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N/f(I)N \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{f} : N \longrightarrow N/f(I)N$ is defined by $\widehat{f}(x + I) = f(x) + f(I)N$, for every $x \in R$.

We are specially interested in studying almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelopes of rings when $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{CRings}$, the category of commutative rings. Our next result shows that we can restrict to almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelopes of commutative rings. By R_{com} we denote the quotient of the ring R by the ideal generated by the differences $ab - ba$, where $a, b \in R$. It is easy to see that the canonical epimorphism $\pi : R \longrightarrow R_{com}$ is a \mathcal{CRings} -preenvelope of R .

Proposition 2.6 *Let R be a ring and \mathcal{H} a class of rings such that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{CRings}$. R has an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope if and only if R_{com} has an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow H$ be an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R . Since H is a commutative ring there exists a homomorphism $\delta : R_{com} \rightarrow H$ such that $\delta\pi = \varphi$, where $\pi : R \rightarrow R_{com}$ is the canonical epimorphism. We will show that $\delta : R_{com} \rightarrow H$ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R_{com} . In fact, let $\psi : R_{com} \rightarrow H'$ a homomorphism, where $H' \in \mathcal{H}$. Since φ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : H' \rightarrow H''$, where $H'' \in \mathcal{H}$, and a homomorphism $\alpha : H \rightarrow H''$ such that $\alpha\varphi = \theta\psi\pi$. Hence $\alpha\delta\pi = \alpha\varphi = \theta\psi\pi$ and since π is an epimorphism, $\alpha\delta = \theta\psi$ and we are done.

Conversely, assume that $\psi : R_{com} \rightarrow H'$ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R_{com} . We will see that the composition $R \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{com} \xrightarrow{\psi} H'$ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R . In fact, let $\varphi : R \rightarrow H$ a homomorphism where $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $R \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{com}$ is a \mathcal{CRings} -preenvelope of R , there exist $\delta : R_{com} \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi = \delta\pi$. On the other hand, since ψ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R_{com} , there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : H' \rightarrow H''$, where $H'' \in \mathcal{H}$, and a homomorphism $\alpha : H' \rightarrow H''$ such that $\alpha\psi = \theta\delta$. Consequently,

$$\alpha\psi\pi = \theta\delta\pi = \theta\varphi$$

which implies that $\psi\pi$ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R . ■

3 Almost preenvelopes in semiprimitive rings

We denote by $SRings$ the class of semiprimitive rings. In other words, $H \in SRings$ if and only if $J(H) = 0$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let R be a ring and \mathcal{H} a class of rings such that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq SRings$. R has an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope if, and only if, R has a \mathcal{H} -preenvelope.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi : R \rightarrow H$ is an almost \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R and $\psi : R \rightarrow H'$ a homomorphism where $H' \in \mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : H' \rightarrow H''$, where $H'' \in \mathcal{H}$, and a homomorphism $\alpha : H \rightarrow H''$ such that $\alpha\varphi = \theta\psi$. Since $\text{Ker}\theta \ll H'$, it follows from Example 2.2 that $\text{Ker}\theta \subseteq J(H') = 0$ and so θ is an isomorphism. Thus $\theta^{-1}\alpha\varphi = \psi$ which implies that $\varphi : R \rightarrow H$ is a \mathcal{H} -preenvelope of R . The converse is Remark 2.4. ■

We next apply Proposition 3.1 to several particular classes of semiprimitive rings. We denote the Krull dimension of the ring R by $K\text{-dim}(R)$.

Corollary 3.2 *Let R be a ring, \mathcal{F} the class of fields, \mathcal{S} the class of semisimple commutative rings and \mathcal{V} the class of regular von Neumann commutative rings.*

1. *R has an almost \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelope if, and only if, R has a \mathcal{F} -(pre) envelope if, and only if, R is local and $K\text{-dim}(R) = 0$. In this case, the projection $\pi : R \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ is the \mathcal{F} -envelope where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R .*
2. *R has an almost \mathcal{S} -(pre) envelope if, and only if, R has a \mathcal{S} -(pre) envelope if, and only if, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is finite. In this case, the canonical map $R \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the \mathcal{S} -envelope, where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the quotient field of R/\mathfrak{p} for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.*
3. *R has an almost \mathcal{V} -(pre) envelope if, and only if, R has a \mathcal{V} -(pre)envelope.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and [6, Theorem 2.2]. ■

Although we do not know which rings exactly have \mathcal{V} -preenvelopes, in our next results we show that every ring R such that $K\text{-dim}(R) = 0$ has a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope. Recall that a ring N is von Neumann regular if, and only if, $K\text{-dim}(N) = 0$ and $\text{Nil}(N) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let R be a commutative ring. If $f : R \longrightarrow N$ is a ring homomorphism, where $N \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\text{Nil}(R) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$. Furthermore, if f is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R then $\text{Nil}(R) = \text{Ker}(f)$.*

Proof. If $x \in \text{Nil}(R)$ then there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$. Hence,

$$0 = f(0) = f(x^n) = [f(x)]^n$$

and so $f(x)$ is a nilpotent element of N . Since $N \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\text{Nil}(N) = 0$ and so $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$.

Now assume that f is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R . Consider the inclusion $i : R/\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow k(\mathfrak{p})$ and the canonical epimorphism $\pi : R \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$. Since $k(\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{V}$ and f is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R , there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : N \longrightarrow k(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $\varphi f = i\pi$. Consequently,

$$0 = \varphi f(\text{Ker}f) = i\pi(\text{Ker}f)$$

Since i is a monomorphism, $\pi(\text{Ker}f) = 0$ which implies $\text{Ker}f \subseteq \text{Ker}\pi = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, $\text{Ker}f \subseteq$

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mathfrak{p} = \text{Nil}(R). \quad \blacksquare$$

We next show that the existence of \mathcal{V} -preenvelopes for a ring reduces to the existence of \mathcal{V} -preenvelopes for reduced rings. Recall that a ring R is reduced if $\text{Nil}(R) = 0$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a commutative ring. R has a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope if, and only if, $R/Nil(R)$ has a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope.*

Proof. Assume that $f : R \rightarrow N$ is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R . By Lemma 3.3, $Nil(R) = Ker(f)$. Hence $g : R/Nil(R) \rightarrow N$ given by $g(\bar{x}) = f(x)$, where $x \in R$, is a well defined monomorphism. We will show that g is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of $R/Nil(R)$. Assume that $h : R/Nil(R) \rightarrow N'$ is a homomorphism, where $N' \in \mathcal{V}$. Since f is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R , there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : N \rightarrow N'$ such that $\varphi f = h\pi$, where $\pi : R \rightarrow R/Nil(R)$ is the canonical epimorphism. Further, it is clear that $g\pi = f$. Hence

$$h\pi = \varphi f = \varphi g\pi$$

and since π is an epimorphism, $h = \varphi g$.

Conversely, suppose that $f : R/Nil(R) \rightarrow N$ is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of $R/Nil(R)$. We will show that the composition

$$R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/Nil(R) \xrightarrow{f} N$$

is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R . In fact, let $g : R \rightarrow N'$ be a homomorphism, where $N' \in \mathcal{V}$. By Lemma 3.3, $Nil(R) \subseteq Ker(g)$. Then we can define the homomorphism $\bar{g} : R/Nil(R) \rightarrow N'$ by $\bar{g}(\bar{u}) = g(u)$, for every $u \in R$. Note that $\bar{g}\pi = g$. Since f is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of $R/Nil(R)$, there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : N \rightarrow N'$ such that $\varphi f = \bar{g}$. Consequently,

$$\varphi f\pi = \bar{g}\pi = g$$

which shows that $f\pi$ is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of R . ■

Corollary 3.5 *If R is a commutative ring such that $K-dim(R) = 0$ then R has a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope.*

Proof. Since $K-dim(R/Nil(R)) = K-dim(R) = 0$ and $R/Nil(R)$ is a reduced ring, then $R/Nil(R) \in \mathcal{V}$. Consequently, the identity $i : R/Nil(R) \rightarrow R/Nil(R)$ is a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope of $R/Nil(R)$. By Theorem 3.4, R has a \mathcal{V} -preenvelope. ■

4 Almost preenvelopes in integer domains

In this section \mathcal{D} will denote the class of integer domains.

Proposition 4.1 *Let R be a commutative ring. If $\varphi : R \rightarrow D$ is an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope of R then $Ker\varphi = Nil(R)$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R and $R/\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{i} k(\mathfrak{p})$ the inclusion. If $R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\mathfrak{p}$ is the canonical epimorphism then since $\varphi : R \rightarrow D$ is an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope of R and $k(\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow D'$, where $D' \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\delta : D \rightarrow D'$ a homomorphism such that $\theta i \pi = \delta \varphi$. Since $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is a field, $\text{Ker} \theta = \{0\}$ or $\text{Ker} \theta = k(\mathfrak{p})$. But the second possibility cannot occur because $\text{Ker} \theta \ll k(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $\text{Ker} \theta = \{0\}$ and θ is an isomorphism. In particular, $i \pi = \theta^{-1} \delta \varphi$. Therefore, $i \pi (\text{Ker} \varphi) = 0$ and since i is a monomorphism, $\pi (\text{Ker} \varphi) = 0$ so that $\text{Ker} \varphi \subseteq \text{Ker} \pi = \mathfrak{p}$. This shows that $\text{Ker} \varphi \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mathfrak{p} = \text{Nil}(R)$.

Now we show that $\text{Nil}(R) \subseteq \text{Ker} \varphi$. Let $x \in \text{Nil}(R)$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = 0$. Hence

$$[\varphi(x)]^n = \varphi(x^n) = \varphi(0) = 0$$

and since D is an integer domain, $\varphi(x) = 0$ and so $x \in \text{Ker} \varphi$. In conclusion, $\text{Ker} \varphi = \text{Nil}(R)$. ■

Proposition 4.2 *Let R be a commutative reduced ring. R has an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope if and only if R is an integer domain.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi : R \rightarrow D$ is an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope of R . By Proposition 4.1, $\text{Ker} \varphi = \text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}$. Hence φ is a monomorphism. Consequently, $R \cong \varphi(R) \subseteq D$ is an integer domain. ■

It was shown in [6, Proposition 2.4] that a ring R has a \mathcal{D} -(pre)envelope if, and only if, $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a prime ideal of R . As we can see in our next result, this is equivalent to the existence of an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope of R .

Theorem 4.3 *Let R be a commutative ring. R has an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope if, and only if, R has a \mathcal{D} -preenvelope if, and only if, $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a prime ideal. In that case, the projection $p : R \rightarrow R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is the \mathcal{D} -envelope.*

Proof. We only need to show that if $\varphi : R \rightarrow D$ is an almost \mathcal{D} -preenvelope of R then $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a prime ideal of R . By Proposition 4.1, $\text{Ker} \varphi = \text{Nil}(R)$. If $a, b \in R$ satisfy $ab \in \text{Ker} \varphi$ then $0 = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a) \varphi(b)$. Since D is an integer domain, $a \in \text{Ker} \varphi$ or $b \in \text{Ker} \varphi$. Consequently, $\text{Ker} \varphi = \text{Nil}(R)$ is a prime ideal of R . ■

5 Almost preenvelopes in local rings

In this section \mathcal{L} denotes the class of local rings.

Theorem 5.1 *Let R be a commutative ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. R has a \mathcal{L} -(pre) envelope;
2. R has an almost \mathcal{L} -preenvelope;
3. R is local.

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. This is a consequence of Remark 2.4.

2. \Rightarrow 3. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow L$ be an almost \mathcal{L} -preenvelope of R and \mathfrak{m} the unique maximal ideal of L . Then $\mathfrak{p} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a prime ideal of R . On the other hand, $J(L) = \{x \in L : x \text{ is not invertible}\}$ since L is a local ring. Thus, if $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ then $\varphi(s) \notin \mathfrak{m} = J(L)$ and so $\varphi(s)$ is invertible. It follows from the universal property of localization that there exists a unique homomorphism $h : R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L$ such that $\varphi = hf$, where $f : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical homomorphism. We will show that $f : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an almost \mathcal{L} -preenvelope of R . In fact, let $\alpha : R \rightarrow L'$ be a homomorphism, where $L' \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, since φ is an almost \mathcal{L} -preenvelope of R , there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\theta : L' \rightarrow L''$, where $L'' \in \mathcal{L}$, and a homomorphism $\delta : L \rightarrow L''$ such that $\theta\alpha = \delta\varphi$. Consequently

$$\delta hf = \delta\varphi = \theta\alpha$$

which implies that $f : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an almost \mathcal{L} -preenvelope of R .

Now let \mathfrak{p}' be a prime ideal of R and consider the canonical homomorphism $f' : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Then there exists a superfluous epimorphism $\alpha_1 : R_{\mathfrak{p}'} \rightarrow L_1$, where $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ and a homomorphism $\delta_1 : R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L_1$ such that $\delta_1 f = \alpha_1 f'$. Let $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then $f(s)$ is invertible and so $\alpha_1 f'(s) = \delta_1 f(s)$ is also invertible. Therefore, there exists $b \in L_1$ such that $\alpha_1 f'(s)b = 1$. Since α_1 is an epimorphism, there exists $a \in R_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ such that $b = \alpha_1(a)$ and so

$$\alpha_1(f'(s)a) = 1 = \alpha_1(1)$$

It follows that $1 - f'(s)a \in \text{Ker}(\alpha_1) \subseteq J(R_{\mathfrak{p}'})$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ is local, $f'(s)a = 1 - (1 - f'(s)a)$ is invertible, consequently, $f'(s) = \frac{s}{1}$ is invertible in $R_{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Assume that $\frac{s}{1} \frac{u}{v} = 1$, where $u \in R$ and $v \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$. Then there exists $s' \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$ such that $s'(us - v) = 0$. Since $s' \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$ and $v \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$ we deduce that $s'us = s'v \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$ and so $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}'$. We have shown in this way that $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p}' is an arbitrary prime ideal of R , we conclude that \mathfrak{p} is the unique maximal ideal of R , and so R is a local ring.

3. \Rightarrow 1. The identity $i : R \rightarrow R$ is a \mathcal{L} -preenvelope of R . ■

References

- [1] F. Anderson and K. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules. New York: Springer-Verlag. 1974.
- [2] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, Introducción al Álgebra Conmutativa. Editorial Reverté. 1973.
- [3] L. Bican and B. Torrecillas, Almost precovers. Comm Algebra, 2002, 30: 477 - 487.
- [4] E. Enochs, Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, Israel J. Math., 1981, 39, 189 - 209.
- [5] M. Lixin, D. Nanqing and T. Wenting, On almost precovers and almost preenvelopes, Acta Mathematica Scientia 2006, 26B (3): 395-400.
- [6] R. Parra and M. Saorín, Envelopes of commutative rings, arXiv:0906.4357v1 [math.AC].

CARLOS PARRA

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Los Andes
Mérida 5101 - A, Venezuela
e-mail: carlosparra@ula.ve

RAFAEL PARRA

Departamento de Cálculo, Escuela Básica,
Facultad de Ingeniería
Universidad de Los Andes
Mérida 5101 - A, Venezuela
e-mail: rafaelparra@ula.ve

JUAN RADA

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Simón Bolívar
Caracas 1080 - A, Venezuela
e-mail: juanrada@usb.ve